

City of Fort Collins Utilities Climate Change Adaptation Planning

I)RAFT

Technical Memo

February 2012

Prepared by:

MWH Global, Inc. 370 Interlocken Boulevard Suite 200 Broomfield, Colorado 80021 City of Fort Collins Utilities

This page left blank intentionally for printing purposes.



Table of Contents

Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	5
2.0	Introduction	8
3.0	Climate Information Review	. 10
	Literature Review	10
	Key Observations	10
4.0	Planning Approach	. 12
	Selecting a Scenario Approach	12
	Conducting the Scenario-Based Planning	15
5.0	Findings	. 16
	FCU Water Key Issue: Extreme Drought	16
	FCU Wastewater Key Issue: Flooding and Altered Receiving Water	17
	FCU Stormwater Key Issue: Higher Peak Discharge Levels	18
	FCU Light & Power Key Issue: Requirements for Additional Energy and Capacity	19
	FCU Utilities-Wide brainstorming group Key Issue: Extreme Events of any sort	19
6.0	Summary and Next Steps	. 24
	Summary	24
	Next Steps	26
7.0	Appendix 1: Overview of Climate Science and Scenario Selection	. 28
8.0	Appendix 2: References	. 36
9.0.	Appendix 3: List of Abbreviations	. 38

City of Fort Collins Utilities

10.0 Appendix 4: Agenda and Attendees at Workshop 1	40
11.0 Appendix 5: Agenda and Attendees at Workshop 2	44

1.0 Executive Summary

Shifting weather patterns have long been integrated into utility design and management processes. More recently, utilities across the United States have begun planning around dramatic and long term shifts in climate patterns. These planning efforts have been variably driven by responses to recent severe climate events, advances in climate models, improvements in our understanding of pre-recorded-history weather patterns, shifts in demographics, and changing assumptions about our future climate scenarios.

The City of Fort Collins Utilities (FCU) initiated this Climate Change Adaptation Study to examine possible future impacts of shifts in weather patterns. The purpose of the study is to understand the climate shifts which may occur, brainstorm impacts of those changes, and design a framework to incorporate climate adaptation into FCU's ongoing asset management planning. This approach is taken in recognition of the fact that climate science is likely to improve dramatically in the future, and the resulting knowledge around and response to expected weather shifts will evolve.

This memo presents the results of a research and brainstorming effort to understand the impacts of anticipated changes in climate conditions on FCU. The brainstorming effort was conducted by a number of FCU staff and was organized around specific functional areas within FCU.

Key Issues

Throughout the process several overarching key issues and recommendations were identified for FCU and are included below.

- **Existing water rights** may be central to significant challenges in operations and in the ability to service customers. To overcome these challenges diversification of water supply and storage should be pursued.
- **Increased storage requirements** may be necessary to meet future customer demands, regardless of and additional to the water diversification strategies which are pursued. Given the long lead time required it is advisable to begin assessment of options now.
- **Management of public expectations** will be critical to adaptation efforts, including outreach to the community, and outreach and coordination with other Cities and Utilities.
- **Momentum should be maintained**. There may be challenges in keeping momentum around integrated and effective climate adaptation planning at FCU as other near-term priorities arise. However given the severity of potential impacts, consistent long-term planning efforts should be maintained. Specifically, this should include a policy of integrated planning, with scheduled dates, defined metrics, and accountable parties responsible for quarterly/annual reporting on progress.

• **Funding resources** will be needed to ensure adaptation planning continues even at a low level, but this might be leveraged through joint planning across functional areas as well as through Federal, State and other grants or sources of funding.

Key Issues by Functional Area

Major areas of concern related to potential shifts in climate were also identified specifically for each functional area. These items and associated recommendations to address those concerns which were developed during the brainstorming sessions are listed below.

WATER Concerns

- Insufficient yields from water rights due to drier and warmer climate
- An increase in water pollutants such as high total organic carbon and other high nutrient levels due to drier and warmer climate and more severe events

Recommendations to address these impacts include:

- Evaluate options to diversify the water supply system
- Build reliability/safety factors into projects
- In some areas additional funding may be required

WASTEWATER Concerns

- Flooding from earlier spring melt and extreme precipitation events
- Altered receiving water quality due to increased summer temperatures

Recommendations to address impacts specific to flooding events include:

- Improvements to gates at MWRF to allow more diversion to DWRF, rather than adding effluent pumps
- For flooding events appropriate funding would be needed to fix poor condition areas in the collection systems

STORMWATER Concerns

• **Damages to Conveyance Systems** due to extreme precipitation events and warmer & wetter summers & winters

Recommendations to address these impacts **specific to flooding** events, and include:

- Outreach to manage Public expectations about flood events and results (frequency, inconvenience, etc)
- Educate population on impacts and costs

Light & Power Concerns

• The ability to **Meet Power Demand** due to increased loads during and after extreme events.

Recommendations to address this include increased funding.

Recommended Next Steps:

- Integrated Climate Planning to establish a mechanism to incorporate future climate shifts into regular, ongoing planning activities. Such an approach provides a mechanism for collaboration and communication, enabling optimal operational risk-mitigation, leveraging of efficiencies, and higher return on investment for FCU.
 - Functional Area Planning Integrated planning should begin immediately at the functional area level to ensure each group addresses the identified implications highlighted above and, in greater detail, in Section 5. Specific actions should be assigned to FCU groups and/or departments. Each group should identify the possible options for addressing implications of anticipated climate changes over the next two years.
 - **Measureable Approach** This approach should involve a consistent and measurable level of activities according to the "plan, do, check, act" cycle with assigned accountability and appropriate metrics.
 - Knowledge Renewal Utilities should plan to hold quarterly or biannual reviews of the state of climate knowledge as relates to nearand long-term projections and planning to meet City needs. In addition, Utilities should plan to remain active with climate groups in the local area, such as the Joint Front Range Vulnerability Assessment team, as well as nationally and, in a focused manner, internationally. In this manner Utilities can seek best practices through relevant case studies.
 - City-Wide Coordination In order to maximize collaboration and positive impact, these planning efforts should be coordinated with the City of Fort Collins sustainability team and other City Departments.

2.0 Introduction

Although climate science has improved dramatically in recent years, our knowledge about future climate shifts in any region of the world still has significant variability and uncertainty. The Front Range region in Colorado is expected to experience an increase in extreme events, including high precipitation events and droughts events, as well as overall warmer temperatures. These scenarios may affect the City of Fort Collins Utilities (FCU), posing new challenges to the operations, infrastructure, business continuity and service to the community.

Utilities across the United States are taking steps to prepare for potential future climate variations. Given the uncertainty of current climate models, it is essential to develop a planning process designed to incorporate future improvements in climate science while still enabling decisions based on today's data and within existing planning timelines.

The City of Fort Collins Utilities initiated this Climate Adaptation Planning Project to help identify, understand and plan for impacts associated with existing and future climate conditions. The project consists of the following three components:

- **Data Analysis and Risk Planning** to review current climate science and identify potential impacts for FCU;
- **Decision Framework Development** to enable adequate incorporation of climate considerations into operational and capital decisions.
- Adaptation Integration Planning to establish a mechanism to incorporate climate adaptation into regular, ongoing planning activities.

This memo presents key findings from the Data Analysis and Risk Planning Phase of work. The work associated with this activity includes:

- Literature review of the latest climate science research, with emphasis on the Front Range Region.
- Consolidation of anticipated climate impacts, based on scientific data, related to localized temperature, precipitation and stream flow variation that may affect FCU's assets and operations.
- **Incorporation of inputs from climate experts** and peer organizations to validate the literature review and identify items pertinent to FCU.
- Workshops with key staff from FCU to identify potential climate impacts, implications for FCU, and preliminary adaptation strategies for addressing associated issues.

Beginning with climate science and information obtained during the literature review, the **Data Analysis and Risk Planning** Phase of work provides a rigorous process for filtering available climate information into key findings for FCU. The end result is a set of high priority implications which will become important decision tools for resource and investment planning.

It is important to point out that the process, as shown in the figure below, is conducted such that each step can be revisited and refined as new observations or data points become available.



)RAF

Figure 2.1. Data Analysis Process

3.0 Climate Information Review

Literature Review

To develop an understanding of the state of climate science, a review of published and available literature was conducted. The review resulted in the collection of nearly 25 pertinent documents which have been organized into an electronic reference library for FCU. The library is designed to be a living repository which can be easily accessed and updated as additional relevant climate information is uncovered. Periodic (semi-annual or annual) literature reviews should be conducted to identify peer reviewed content and other new findings for inclusion in library and for use in planning.

The objective of the review was to identify and assess information relating to:

- The state of climate science, particularly for the Front Range Region;
- The link between potential climate changes and impacts on resources, infrastructure, community and business; and
- Adaptation planning efforts and relevant best practices of other utilities.

The recently completed Joint Front Range Climate Change Vulnerability (JFRCCV) Study provides specialized climate science information particularly relevant to FCU. This document is an especially pertinent resource for this task and the overall project. The full list of documents is included in the References Section of this document, and a summary of the research is presented in the Appendix.

Key Observations

All Global Climate Models (GCMs) indicate an overall warming. In fact, the starting point of any GCM is an assumption that warming will occur. This inevitably leads to projections of temperature increases which may or may not prove to be true.

Colorado's Front Range is one of the most complex regions in the world for climate model predictions. This is due to the steep mountains, micro climates, and northern and southern weather influences. The result is an even greater level of uncertainty than faced by other regions.

For example, while some GCMs indicate an increase in precipitation, others indicate a decrease for the Front Range. This leaves planners in an uncertain scenario with the pressing question "which do we plan for?"

Similarly, Regional Climate Model (RCM) results are available, which interpolate results to a more detailed level. RCMs depend on GCM output and thus incorporate associated data weaknesses. At this point in time, the resolution of GCMs may still be too coarse for derivation of RCMs and for downscaling to be an accurate prediction tool.

The Front Range region has a documented history of extreme climate events. This includes, most alarmingly, extreme droughts and extreme floods. Utilities should have robust systems in place to prepare for such events in the future.

A further discussion of the specific models studied and what those models indicate for the Front Range is included in Appendix 1.

4.0 Planning Approach

A key objective of climate adaptation planning is reduced risk. Since climate information is constantly evolving, the planning process has to be dynamic and constantly refined in order to enable continued improvement and optimal results.

Selecting a Scenario Approach

Based on the literature review and input from climate experts and peer Utility organizations, it was concluded that a multiple scenario approach would be used. The reality is that the future is unknown and projected future climate change scenarios will likely be inaccurate to some degree. It is not feasible to assign probabilities. Therefore, rather than planning for any one scenario, planning should incorporate a broad representation of possible future changes.

The following figure illustrates the value of a scenario approach. A focused, near term strategy can be developed which incorporates considerations for numerous potential outcomes (represented by the letters A-E in the figure) in the longer term.



Figure 4.1 Scenario Planning, Source: Denver Water

As new information and data is uncovered, decision points will mark the opportunity for refined and revised planning and the ability to focus future operational measures appropriately.

To implement this approach for FCU, five scenarios were selected, as described below. The scenarios represent the extreme possible climate conditions predicted by current models. By using these scenarios, FCU will be preparing for the worst predicted disruptions to service, cost of service, infrastructure, and the environment.

The five selected scenarios include:

- Warm & Wet
- Hot & Dry
- Median
- Extreme Precipitation
- Extreme Drought

All five scenarios are described in detail in Appendix 1. The first three represent a subset of three of the five forecasts presented in the JFRCCV Study for the year 2040. The subset was selected due to its representation of the variation of temperature, precipitation, stream flow and shift in peak run-off which is relevant to the Front Range Region and FCU.

The remaining two scenarios, Extreme Precipitation and Extreme Drought were selected from a subset of climatic phenomena predicted to occur regardless of the eventual climate shift.

With the scenarios selected, a series of steps were taken to enable the translation of existing climate data and information into relevant impacts and associated implications for FCU. Once identified, the implications are the basis on which adaptation planning occurs. The following graphic outlines the overall scenario approach, which is also described in the below text.



Conducting the Scenario-Based Planning

Each of the five climate scenarios was used to generate a list of specific impacts to FCU.

Each impact was, in turn, translated into implications for the operation of FCU's infrastructure. This was accomplished through two half-day workshops including key personnel from FCU, technical experts, representatives from peer utilities and MWH.

Once the implications were identified, they were analyzed specifically for several key functional areas including: Water, Wastewater, Stormwater, Light & Power, and FCU Organizational-Wide. Experts from each functional area indicated the most relevant implications for their area and prioritized the selected implications based on a set of key criteria, including: cost of no action, environmental impact, customer impact, and operational disruption.

Finally, preliminary adaptation strategies were prepared for each of the top implications. The results of these exercises are shown in Section 5.

Each step of the process is well-documented, transparent, easily replicable and editable; enabling FCU to use and refine the same process as future improvements in climate science occur, and knowledge around local climate impacts improves.

This page left blank intentionally for printing purposes.

5.0 Findings

The highest priority climate impacts, and their associated implications, were individually addressed by each of the selected FCU functional areas. These are summarized and discussed in detail below.

FCU Water Key Issue: Extreme Drought

- **Insufficient yields from water rights** were of concern because they might lead to:
 - A need for more storage capacity to mitigate low seasonal water levels
 - Customer water use restrictions
 - Landscape impacts
- An increase in water pollutants such as high total organic carbon and other high nutrient levels, which might lead to:
 - Drinking water quality issues
 - Difficulty meeting regulatory requirements

Recommendations to address these impacts, based on the FCU functional group brainstorming, include:

- Evaluate options to diversify the water supply system
- Build reliability/safety factors into projects
- In some areas additional funding may be required

Table 5.1. Results of FCU Water Planning

Functional Area:	Water								
Climate Change	Impact	Implication(s)	Current Status	Goals and Steps for Adaptation	Ot				
Extreme Drought	Yields from water rights may be	Insufficient storage capacity	Currently short of storage capacity	Build additional storage capacity	Addit				
	significantly reduced/insufficient		process is slow and expensive						
		Restrictions	Project is going through permitting	Continue to pursue current project	Need				
			process						
		Landscape Impacts	Reservoir storage is controversial	Seek support and approval	Need				
					projects				
			Level of water conservation and	Continue to look at alternatives					
			quality of life						
				Need cooperation with others					
				Get permit, design, build					
Extreme Drought	Runoff following droughts will increase	Water quality issues	Use blending to address high TOC	Add treatment processes to make removal more	Addit				
	TOC and nutrients			efficient					
		Difficulty meeting regulatory	Have monitoring programs	Different supply for high TOC periods for blending					
		requirements							
		Increased nutrient loading	Continuing increasing trend in TOC in	Dual supply to customers to reduce amount of					
			reservoir - making it more difficult to	finished water needed to meet consumptive					
			reduce concentrations in raw water	demand					
			creating a potential increased reliance						
			on chemical usage						
			Meet regulatory requirements for	Address non-point and point source of nutrients					
			removal	(ag runoff, septic leaks, etc) through partnership					
				with landowners, ranchers, etc.					
			Study origin and character of TOC	Economic impact of decreased water quality>					
				Businesses depend on increased water quality					

her Considerations/Resources Needed ional funding may be needed diverse water supply system to build reliability/safety factor into ional funding

FCU <u>Wastewater</u> Key Issue: Flooding and Altered Receiving Water

- Early Spring Melt & Runoff and other Extreme Flooding Events were of concern for possible flooding issues and impacts on the collection systems
- Increased Summer Temperatures were of a concern because of the potential for altered receiving water quality issues

Recommendations to address these impacts, based on the FCU functional group brainstorming, were specific to flooding events, and include:

- Improvements to gates at MWRF to allow more diversion to DWRF, rather than adding effluent pumps
- For flooding events appropriate funding would be needed to fix poor condition areas in the collection systems

Table 5.2. Results of FCU Wastewater Planning

Functional Area:	Wastewater				
Climate Change	Impact	Implication(s)	Current Status	Goals and Steps for Adaptation	Other Considerations/Resources Needed
Warmer and Wetter	Early Spring Melt and Runoff	Flooding	Both plants are protected from 100	Determine "New" event storms	Improvements to gates at MWRF to allow more
Winters			year waters.		diversion to DWRF may make more sense than
					adding effluent pumps
			Key problem is effluent discharge.	Determine improvements requirement to raise	
				effluent elevation	
			MWRF discharge protected to a 50-	See if structures are still protected	
			year event		
			DWRF at current 100-yr event	Possible need for improvements to effluent	
				discharge pumping or diversion at MWRF if 100-year	
				event becomes greater	
			Can currently divert flow from MWRF	Improvements to emergency power/backup	
			to DWRF		
Hotter/Drier	Increased Temperatures	Altered biology/chemistry of	Currently meeting all NPDES permit	Maintain watch on state/EPA issuances	Less flows and less dilution would cause general
Summers		receiving water	limits		challenge to meeting required limits
			Plans for proposed new limits are in	Budget preparation is recommended if higher	
			place (no funding for SPT)	limits are required	
			Master plan for even higher limits is		
			in place		
Extreme	Extreme Flooding	Flooding in collection system	Currently in investigative phase of I/I	Fix identified inflow issues	Need appropriate funding to fix poor condition
Precipitation Events			study		areas

Page 17

FCU <u>Stormwater</u> Key Issue: Higher Peak Discharge Levels

- Both individual Extreme Precipitation Events and Warmer & Wetter Summers & Winter could:
 - Increase the damage to the storm water management facilities and conveyance systems
 - Require additional management and repairs of relevant infrastructure
 - Potentially require an increase in the quality of the stormwater infrastructure

Recommendations to address these impacts, based on the FCU functional group brainstorming, were specific to flooding events, and include:

- Outreach to manage Public expectations about flood events and results (frequency, inconvenience, etc)
- Educate population on impacts and costs

Table 5.3. Res	ults of FC	J Stormwater	^r Planning
Europhic and Areas	<u> </u>		

Functional Area: Stormwater					
Climate Change	Impact	Implication(s)	Current Status	Goals and Steps for Adaptation	(
Extreme Precipitation	Higher/More frequent Peak Discharges	Increased risk of damage to stormwater management facilities and convergence systems	Expectation that infrastructure is designed to withstand damage	Identify approach to model more extreme events	Out flood (etc)
		Need for management and repair of infrastructure	Concerned about perception - that people will wonder "why it wasn't done right the first time" Concerned about having sufficient level of staffing	 Increase maintenance budgets through increased reserve for replacement/repair of projects (rate increases) Develop strategies for damage avoidance PDM grants - actively pursue Property buy-outs Modify land use regulations Change design criteria to accommodate potential future higher flows upsize pipes and channels dam design to be enlarged policy change Rate impacts for retro fit projects Understand how to quantify change in design flows (% of current criteria) 	Edu
Warmer/Wetter	Higher/More frequent Peak Discharges	Potential need to increase stormwater infrastructure quality	Designed to standards based on historical conditions that are changing Public is not getting the level of protection they expect and paid for	 Quantifying the change and when it occurs PR & outreach to public about change Increasing stormwater capacity in town Cost of retrofit and stormwater fee Master plan for future potential changes - acquire additional land now for a current detention pond or bump pile size for storm drain project or allow for spilling of outlet works capacity 	

Other Considerations/Resources Needed reach to manage Public expectations about events and results (frequency, inconvenience, cate population on impacts and costs

FCU <u>Light & Power</u> Key Issue: Requirements for Additional Energy and Capacity

The main concern in this area is that although FCU has plenty of generating assets, other owners of generation such as PRPA and the City will need to add additional capacity in order to meet projected demand. Their doing so will impact the stability of FCU's grid and ability to continue to meet power demands.

Functional Area:	Light & Power				
Climate Change	Impact	Implication(s)	Current Status	Goals and Steps for Adaptation	Other Considerations/Resources Needed
All	Increases requirement for energy and	Inadequate electrical supply	City is capacity rich but PRPA, the City	Long range planning and more sophisticated	Funding
	capacity	could have a significant impact	and the region will have to add	forecasting	
		and require additional resources	additional generation resources to		
			meet demand		
				Development of cleaner generation resources	
				Maximize demand response, energy efficiency	
				and conservation	
				Add energy storage opportunities and distributed	
				generation development to the portfolio	

Table 5.4. Results of FCU Light & Power Planning

FCU <u>Utilities-Wide</u> brainstorming group Key Issue: Extreme Events of any sort

The particular concern was around impacts on the community in regard to supply and the economy, and stresses upon the Utility infrastructure and business continuity.

Table 5.5. Results of FCU Utilities-Wide Planning

Climate Change	Implication(s)	Current Status	Goals and Steps for Adaptation	Other Considerations/Resources Needed
Extreme Drought	Increased demand	Blocked pricing irrigation	More conservation	Storage
	Dramatically reduced supply	Draught response plan	More storage	Water quality improvements
	Community-wide impacts to economy	Water conservation	Increased	More regulation
			awareness/education/communication	
	Population loss	Seeking more storage	Regional collaboration	Financing
	Revenue loss	Senior water rights	Irrigation (outdoor landscaping	Regional collaboration - especially for water
			changes?)	rights
			Revised water rights	Water-Energy Nexus
			Improved financial strategy	
	Impact to City infrastructure, treatment	Have emergency planning but	More emergency planning	More regional collaboration
Extreme	infrastructure and plant facilities	need more		
Precipitation				
	Issues for business continuity	Reviewing flood plain and	More preparation and closer	Increased resiliency for shorter response/reaction
		master plans	attention to infrastructure	time
		Some regional collaboration	More communication and awareness	
		occurring	(community/customers)	
		Interoperation	Budgetary planning	
			Land acquisition for larger detention	

Highest Priority Implications by Functional Area - Color Key												
Water	Light & Power	Stormwater										
Wastewater	FCU Organization Wide	High priority for two or more Functional Areas										
Wastewater	FCU Organization Wide	High priority for two or more Functional Areas										

Table 5.6. Outcomes of the Workshop Implications Planning Wastew

						o ent	י י י י	er .	t t	r le c	er	0		n al	-	o ent		n St de	o nt	ے ب	al n	
					ater	of N tion	ome ome pact ation	ewat of N	tion nme	ome pact ation	ıwat	of N tion	ome	ation	t anc wer	of N tion	ome pact	uptio Collir ss Wi	of N tion	ome pact	ation Iptio	licy
Expected					N.	Cost Ac Tvird	al In Cust Im Im	Vaste Cost	Ac Twird al In	Cust Im perio	torn	Cost Ac	al In Cust	peri	Ligh Po	Cost Ac nvirc	al In Cust Im	Jisru Disru iort (tilitie	Cost Ac Nrrc	al In Cust) per; Disru	Pc
Climate	luces a sta	Climate Impacts	Challenges	s/Implications		ŭ ŭ	- 01	> ~	ŭ	- 0-	ەن ^ب		- -	0 -		Ē	- 0	5 4 5	<u> </u>		0 -	
Changes	Impacts	Impacts (level 2)	Implications	Implications (level 2)													- i i					
	Decreased winter snowpack and	Increased base flow during winter months	Undesirable releases from reservoirs		×						_											
	increased raman	Shift in ecosystem flora/fauna	system damage due to overgrowth (e.g. pipelines/canais																			
			Increased maintenance needs due to degradation in wate	r																		
			quality		×						L											
			Increased sedimentation in runoff and reservoirs from																			
			Vegetation die-off								L											
			Implications for revegetation and restorations efforts:	Ranch Vegetation: too wet to spread (?)																		
			selecting plant species that better tolerate new conditions																			
Ś								X L	M L	M	L							×				
tei		Insufficient storage for some events during spring	Undesirable releases from reservoirs																			
ji ji			Need for additional storage capacity to control flows and	For L&P could mean additional pumping demand											~			~				
\$	Increased temperatures	Fower cold weather days	Less cold weather operating challenges in wastewater		<u>^</u>	LH	H L				-				~	IVI L		^				
ter	increased temperatures	rewer cold weather days	plants & biosolids handling															×				
et			Less cold weather operating challenges in collection and																ے	2 2	2	igh
3			distribution systems															×	Ηig	Lo Lo	Lo	Т
pu			Potentially lower overall power requirements for electrica	1																		
r a			heating												×	L L	L L					
ne			Shift in winter peak load (heating) to summer peak load	Higher available biogas																		
arn 1			(cooling)					✓ Pos	sitive Positive L	L	L				×	L L	LL					
Ň			Ecological alterations, i.e.: beetle kill		×	МН	L M				-			_				×				
_	Later freeze & earlier thaw		Less cold weather stress on conveyance systems								-											
	Earlier spring meit & runoff	Higher spring peak now	stream flows during warm months		v													×				
			Current water rights may cause misalignment between		^													^				
			supply and demand timing.		×	M L	LL											x				
			'One-fill rule' - Inability to accumulate / store run-off		×													×				
			Insufficient storage capacity given the size of the city of Ft																			
			Collins		×													×				
		Broader window for power production	More hydro generation during a broader window												~	L L	L L					
		Rain on snow	Faster run-off	Augmented frequency and magnitude of flash floods							Н		Х	Х								
						ent [–] to		ter o	t ent	a a	ter	<u>9</u>	- 	, la la	<u>.</u>	do ent	ដ <u>គ</u> ទី	ins ide	ent o	ਸ਼ ਸ਼	nal N	
					ater	of N tion	pact pact pact pact	ewa of h	tion nm	ome pact atio	a Mi	of N tion	ome ome	atio	t an wer	of M tion	ome pact	colli colli s W	of N tion	ome	atio Iptic	licy
Expected					Š	Cost Ac Ivirc	al In Cust Im per: Visru	/asti Cost	Ac ivirc	Cust Im peri	:orn	Cost Ac	al In Cust	peri	Ligh Po	Cost Ac Ivirc	al In Cust	ort ort	Cost Ac	al In Cust	peri	P
Climate		Climate Impacts	Challenges	s/Implications		Er O		\$	<u>ل</u> ة ا	0	5	Ŭ	<u> </u>	0 -		Er		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		0 0	
Changes	Impacts	Impacts (level 2)	Implications	Implications (level 2)																		
	Increased in spring/summer stream	Susceptibility to flooding	Insufficient infrastructure capacity					×			L			_				×				
sis	flow	Increased sedimentation	Higher maintenance needs								М											
Ĕ		Reservoir capacity may be affected	Change in reservoir release needs															×				
E		Higher/more frequent peak discharges	Potential need to increase stormwater infrastructure	Change in design parameters may be needed									v	v				~				
Su			Changes in operation requirements may be necessary								н		X	X				~				
er	Increase in summer precipitation	lower outdoor water use	Decrease in user demand								-							~		*		
ett		Ecological alterations	Increase in plagues and diseases i.e. mosquitoes and	Increased storage pond maintenance							_			_				^	Ę.	s ble	÷.	≥
3			West Nile virus								L							×	Ξ	legi Lo	Ξ	Ľo
p			Increased riparian vegetation	Changes in drainage patterns, resistance to erosion,							Positiv									*		
ai				susceptibility to overflow							e							×				
Jer			Decreased risk of wildfires								Positiv											
L L											e							×				
Ň			Changes in biosolids concentrations	Difficulty achieving required biosolid dryness for land																		
-		Increase surface run-off and soil saturation	Increase in Inflow and Infiltration (I&I)	application	+					IVI M	+			_								

	Hig	Highest Priority Implications by Functional Area - Color Ke											
	Water	Light & Power	Stormwater										
Table 5.6 Outcomes of the Workshon Implications Planning (Continued)	Wastewater	FCU Organization Wide	High priority for two or n										
Tuble 0.0. Outcomes of the Workshop implications Flamming (Continued)													

Expected		limato Impacto	Challange	c/Implications	Water	Cost of No Action	nvironment al Impact Customer	Impact Dperational Disruption	Nastewater	Cost of No Action nvironment	al Impact Customer Impact	Disruption	Cost of No Action	nvironment al Impact Customer	Impact Dperational Disruption	Light and Power	Cost of No Action	nvironment al Impact Customer	Impact Operational Disruption	Fort Collins Utilities Wide	Cost of No Action	al Impact Customer	Disruption	Policy
Changes	Impacts	Imnacts (level 2)		Implications (level 2)			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u></u>	ш				<u> </u>		ر 		<u> </u>		
Changes	Decrease in surface water resources	Insufficient water supply to meet demand	Needing additional water supply	Increase requirement for capital reserves for securing																				
				water options, planning and project capital	×	н н	н	L												×				
			Inadequate conservation and drought management																					
			Water rights may need to be shifted or renegotiated	Increased litigation around water rights	×	M L	L	L												×				
			Economic repercussions: prioritizing industrial vs.																					
			residential water use																	×				
		Lower base flows and reservoir levels in late summer	Changes in receiving water quality due to low flows																	×				
		Reduced service reliability	Increase in PR expenses																	×				
			Changes in source water quality due to low flows		×	M N	И М-Н	L																
	Increased forest fires	Increased sediment load in runoff	Increased dredging needed for reservoirs		×							L								×				
		Degraded water quality	Increased needs for additional treatment		×	H N	лM	L												×				
S	Less rainfall during growing season	Increased damage to collection and conveyance system pipes due to increased depth of growth of tree roots	Increased maintenance needs for system pipes																	×				
Jei		Increased outdoor / irrigation water use	More water supply needed to meet demand		×															×				
μ			Impacts on ranchland	Biosolids reuse					XL	М	L N	1												
, in	Longer growing season / Shift in	Increase agricultural water demand	More water supply needed to meet demand																	×	igh			
5	Increased temperatures	Altered biology and chemistry of wastewater treatment	Increased odor and corrosion in wastewater collection																		H/c			
rie		and collection systems	systems																	×	liu			_
			Altered energy and chemical requirements at treatment																		Ved			
ane		Alternal history and shamistry of resolving waters	facilities	EDA / State Journing Nitrogon limits	-						_								_	×				
lot a		Altered biology and chemistry of receiving waters	regulations						×	и н	н м	1								×				
-		Heat-island effects and landscaping														×	L L	L	L		_			
		Stress on vegetation																						
		Air quality issues	Ground-level ozone, dust, create health issues		_											_				×				
		Demographic / Economic shifts (migration to mountains)																						
		Increase in water demand and competition/disputes over water			×	мн	н	L																
		Increased overall energy consumption	Increased power procurement needed	Minor facilities HVAC issues					×	1 L	L N	1				×	H L	н	н	×				
		Increased cooling water demand in power generation	Increased silica deposition													×	ML	L	М	×				
		Altered biology and chemistry of source waters	More stringent treatment requirements to meet																					
			regulations		×	H N	л M	L																
		Increased peak power demand														×	ΗL	Н	Н					
	Infrequent Storms	"First Flush" pollutant loading	Increased maintenance and BMP design criteria									М												

Page 21 DRAFT



				Hig	ghest	t Priority	/ Implica	ation	ns by	Fund	ctiona	l Area	a - Co	lor Key						٦			
				Water	Lig	ht & Powe	r					S	tormw	ater									
	0.0.1			Wastewater	FCI	J Organiza	tion Wide					н	igh pri	ority for tw	o or m	ore I	Functi	onal Ar	eas				
Table 5	.6. Outcomes of t	ne workshop implication	is Planning (Continued)					_					-0 P										
Expected					Water	ost of No Action vironment il Impact	Lustomer Impact perational isruption	astewater	ost of No Action	vironment al Impact	Lustomer Impact perational	isruption ormwater	ost of No Action	vironment al Impact Lustomer Impact	perational isruption	-ignt and Power	ost of No Action	Vironnene il Impact Lustomer	perational isruption	ort Collins lilties Wide	Action vironment	l Impact Customer Impact	perational isruption Policy
Climate	(Climate Impacts	Challenges/	Implications		En C	° ° °	3	0	<u>н</u>	<u> </u>	St	0	En	0 0 -	-			0 0	<u> </u>	<u>ن</u> ھ	<u>`</u>	
Changes	Impacts	Impacts (level 2)	Implications	Implications (level 2)																			
	Severe/Extreme Flooding Events	Higher/more frequent peak discharges	Increased risk of flood damage to FCU infrastructure	Increased risk of direct flood damage to treatment plant, pumping and conveyance, outfall, and biosolids facilities Potential flood damage and increased maintenance needs due to sediment deposition in stormwater detention facilities Increased risk of direct flood damage to stormwater management facilities and water conveyance				×	н	н	L H	м											
				infrastructure								н	х		<								
				Management and repair of infrastructure and plants				×	м	H I	M H	н			<								
nts			Increased risk of flood damage to public infrastructure	Potential damage to streets, roads, bridges								M											
ive			increased private property damage	liability								L											
ation F			Increased risk of untreated sewage overflows during conveyance due to high flows exceeding existing capacity Increased risk of untreated sewage overflows via					×	н	н	н н	L											
ipit			treatment plant by-passes due to high flows exceeding																		ghe		
rec			existing capacities					~	м		NA NA	L									Í		
e Pi			Potential need to increase stormwater infrastructure	Change in design parameters may be needed				^	IVI	L													
Ĕ			capacity									н	х	х									
xtre			Increased debris in stormwater system	Increased expenses in debris management								м											
Ξ.		Increased channel erosion	Increased requirements for channel maintenance Potential for property damage									M											
			Threat to water conveyance lines		×	н м	M M																
		Increased turbidity and sedimentation in receiving	Increase in maintenance requirements																				
	Increased watershed erosion (especially	waters	Need for receiver dredging and clean up of stormwater																				
	in combination with drier climate)		infrastructure									м											
	More frequent/severe extreme weather events		Infrastructure damage due to wind, hail, debris, fallen trees, etc.									L											
Expected Climate	(Climate Impacts	Challenges	'Implications	Water	Cost of No Action Environment al Impact	Customer Impact Operational Disruption	Wastewater	Cost of No Action	Environment al Impact	Customer Impact Operational	Disruption	Cost of No Action	Environment al Impact Customer Impact	Operational Disruption	Light and Power	Cost of No Action	al Impact Customer	Operational Disruption	Fort Collins Utilities Wide Cost of No	Action Environment	al Impace Customer Impact	Operauona Disruption Policy
Changes	Impacts	Impacts (level 2)	Implications	Implications (level 2)																			
	Intense heat waves/dry spells	Water conservation efforts reduce inflow to wastewater treatment plants	Damage to pipe infrastructure																				
			Odor, corrosion, water quality issues					×	м	LI	M M												
			Changes in receiving water quality					x	м	М	L L	_											
		Low pase flows in late summer	Insufficient water supply to meet demand		×	L L	M L	+				_					Coo Hoter		_				
		Risks of fire	Increased sedimentation in runoff	Increased separation needs at plants	×	н м	мм	1				L			'	·	LE HULQL	ry scendrio				+	
			Air quality issues																				
		Reduced yield from storage due to higher evapotranspiration rates	Insufficient water supply to meet demand		×	L L	L L																
nts		Loss of landscaping	Need to adjust landscaping to xerascaping									L											
ive			Permanent shifts in landscaping	Reduced outdoor water needs																			
н Н			ponds									м											
gug			Ranchland grasses	Biosolids resuse				×	М	M	L M										lest		
Drc		Reduced life of distribution equipment	ta anna a' fha a far an far an da												>	< L	<u> </u>	M	м		Hig		
ne	Altered water biology and chemistry		nicreased treatment needs	issues																			
rer		Accelerated eutrophication of reservoirs	Reduced water quality	Higher water treatment requirements				×	М	H I	M L												
EXt			Decrease is water storage capacity					<u> </u>				_										_	
	Accumulation of dead vegetation in the watershed	Runoff from rains following droughts will have high total organic carbon (TOC)	Water quality issues MS4 (?) Maintenance needs	Insufficient treatment capacity to deal with elevated TOC	×	н м	H M					м											
	Interplay between agricultural and																					-	
	River runoff is reduced over a multi-	Yields from water rights may be significantly reduced	Water supply shortages to City customers	Customer restrictions, landscape impacts				1														+++	
	year period			Less revenue from customer water sales																			
			Less rental water available to local farmers	Less rental revenue for Utility																			
		Reduced water available for cooling	Insufficient storage capacity given the City's size	Customer restrictions, landscape impacts	×	нн	H M	+								<u>, </u> .			1				
		Reduced hydroelectric production						+								`	L	L	L				
					1			1								<u>،</u> ۱۲			•				

Page 22

This page left blank intentionally for printing purposes.

Page 23

6.0 Summary and Next Steps

Summary

The **Data Analysis and Risk Planning** Phase of FCU's Climate Adaptation Project provides FCU with the foundation and approach needed to reduce exposure to impacts of climate and associated risks. The outcome of the task includes a transparent and repeatable methodology for translating a vast amount of climate information into actionable planning strategies. The approach outlines a step-by-step process including input from FCU peers, climate experts and FCU functional area experts, which ensures a thorough, but pertinent look at expected climate conditions in the Front Range Region.

It is important to remember that like any risk-oriented planning activity, climate adaptation planning should be done in an iterative fashion. New information, climate science findings, peer best practices or operational conditions may provide an opportunity to improve or drive the need to update previously developed plans. Creation of 'decision points' and regular examination of the expected climate conditions and associated impacts should be conducted to ensure identification of new or previously missed implications.

This Data Analysis and Risk Planning Phase includes development of a spreadsheet analysis framework which houses the selected climate scenarios, expected impacts, implications for FCU and preliminary functional area strategy considerations. The spreadsheet currently provides a central storage point of information for FCU as well as a framework for revisiting and updating this information.

While a key output of this project is a set of high priority implications pertinent to FCU and resulting planning strategies, another was the identification of organizational procedures, best practices, constraints or other elements which may influence FCU's ability to effectively conduct climate adaptation planning. During the workshop implication planning activity several key, overarching comments emerged around opportunities to overcome potential hurdles to both the development of adaptation strategies and to the implementation of specific actions within existing operational planning procedures. A list of these overarching concerns and recommendations is included in the table below. As the climate adaptation planning continues, these elements should be revisited and explored to ensure that they are effectively understood and proactively addressed.

I)RAFT

Table 6.1. Issues and Recommendations

Issue	Recommended Action	Description
Management of Public Expectations	Collaboration Communication	Coordination with other utilities and local governments enables broader outreach and capacity to communicate effectively. Education and outreach around climate event impacts, rate changes and resulting public inconveniences will help to manage public expectations.
Insufficient Funding	Collaboration	Coordination of planning activity between internal functional areas may identify synergies which will enable increased return for FCU's financial and time investments Coordination with other utilities and local governments may provide opportunities for increased access to grants and other funding incentives.
Existing Water Rights May Provide Significant Challenges to Operations and Ability to Service	Collaboration Integration	Coordination with other utilities and local governments will be required to develop suitable solutions. Local policy may need to be influenced to enable required changes.
Storage Requirements	Collaboration Integration	Coordination with other utilities and local governments will be required to develop suitable solutions. Local policy may need to be influenced to enable required changes.
Challenge Keeping Momentum Around Integrated and Effective	Collaboration	Proactive coordination of planning activity between internal functional areas will increase accountability and may identify synergies which will enable increased return for FCU's financial and time investments
Climate Adaptation Planning at	Integration	An internal policy defining planning approach will help provide structure, transparency and continuity to the process. Internal communication about the planning process as well as regular report-outs by functional area will improve accountability and sustainability of the process

Next Steps

This memo highlights the key findings of the Data Analysis and Risk Planning Phase of the FCU Climate Adaptation Planning Project. Next steps for the project include:

- Decision Framework Development to enable the functional areas of FCU to adequately incorporate climate considerations into operational and capital decisions. This will be accomplished through the identification and weighting of a set of key criteria and incorporation of the criteria into the FCU decision tool of choice; and
- Integrated Climate Planning to establish a mechanism to incorporate future climate shifts into regular, ongoing planning activities. Such an approach provides a mechanism for collaboration and communication, enabling optimal operational risk-mitigation, leveraging of efficiencies, and higher return on investment for FCU.

This should begin at the functional area level to ensure each group is able to begin to address the identified implications immediately. Eventually, however, an integrated, FCU-wide planning approach is recommended.

This approach should involve a consistent "plan, do, check, act" cycle with assigned accountability and appropriate metrics.

These planning efforts should be coordinated with the City of Fort Collins sustainability planning and other City departments.

As described in the Summary Section of this memo, it is recommended that FCU place a priority on communication, collaboration and integration, both internally and externally with other utility and local governmental organizations as they move ahead with climate adaptation planning. The ability to leverage parallel efforts and manage expectations will reduce constraints and provide added momentum to implementation of strategies. In addition, FCU should look for opportunities to integrate climate considerations into existing policies and procedures, or develop new policies will help to maintain a structure for effective and sustainable adaptation action.

This page left blank intentionally for printing purposes

7.0 Appendix 1: Overview of Climate Science and Scenario Selection

This project relies predominately on two sources for projected climate scenarios in studying the impacts on FCU's infrastructure and operations: the hydrologic and climate models of the JFRCCV Study and the projected impacts described in WERF's technical report titled Implications of Climate Change for Adaptation by Wastewater and Stormwater Agencies.

The Warm & Wet, Hot & Dry and Median scenarios extracted from the JFRCCV Study constitute the output of climate model simulations and their effects on the hydrologic systems for the study region (i.e. the headwaters of all major Colorado river basins). The JFRCCV Study looked at the output of 112 General Climate Models (GCMs), each the result of an individual climate model run (using 16 different models) with different initial conditions and GHG emission scenarios based on IPCC's Special Report on Emission Scenarios (2000).

While all GCMs point towards overall warming, trends in precipitation for the Front Range Region are less clear. Some models indicate a net reduction while others point towards a net increase in precipitation, as shown in the figure below. Based on the relative differences among the model outputs, a subset was labeled to help provide clarity to the results. The subset includes: Warm & Wet, Warm & Dry, Hot & Wet, Hot & Dry and Median.



Annual Temperature and Precipitation Changes in the year 2040 for 112 individual GCMs compared to 1950-1999 annual averages. Red squares represent qualitative scenarios; yellow circles are the selected GCM runs. (Source: JFRCCV Study)

In order to provide regionally-focused output data, the GCM output was downscaled. Downscaled data is the result of taking low-resolution information from GCMs and using local physical models and statistical tools to correct and increase the data resolution. The downscaled climate data was used to signal the effects of temperature and precipitation changes on surface water resources. The JFRCCV Study relied on two hydrologic models, the Sacramento and the WEAP, to simulate stream flows. In spite of slight differences between the two model's outputs, similar trends are reflected across the five different selected scenarios.

The resulting series of timelines with information on temperature, precipitation and stream flows help to help paint a picture of the potential climate and water resources in the Front Range Region in 2040. A perspective on this information facilitates the identification of associated impacts and implications for FCU. For example, with increased temperatures, snowpack melts faster and earlier and peak stream flows take place earlier in the year; a trend very pertinent to FCU given the impacts on storage capacity, water rights and timing of water demand for agriculture.

In order to focus on the scenarios representing the greatest potential impact to FCU's assets, infrastructure and business operations, a subset of two of the five climate scenarios were considered: Hot & Dry and Warm & Wet. These were chosen because they represent the opposite ends of the five scenarios presented in the JFRCCV Study and capture the widest range of possible climate variations. For example, an early analysis proved that the effects of the Warm & Dry scenario were similar to those of the Hot & Dry but less extreme and intense. Since planning for the latter would cover the risks implied by the former, only the more extreme was selected. The Median scenario was maintained as a reference point.

Only the stream flow data for the Colorado River near Granby and the Cache la Poudre River at Mouth of Canyon were considered, as they reflect the effects on surface water resources for the two basins relevant to FCU. Furthermore, only data for 2040 was deemed useful given the extent of FCU's planning horizons.

The following table and charts were used during Workshop 1 and represent a concise summary on the outcomes of the JFRCCV Study relevant to this Project.

I)RAFT

Summary parameters of the JFRCCV Study climate and hydrologic models used in this Project

				% /	Annual Change i	n Stream Volun	ıes
				Colorado	at Granby	Cache la Pou of ca	dre at mouth nyon
	Scenario	Annual Temp. Increase (°F)	Annual Prec. Change (%)	WEAP	Sacramento	WEAP	Sacramento
	Warm & Wet	1.64	11.43	13%	16%	20%	23%
2040	Median	3.40	2.60	9%	7%	7%	16%
	Hot & Dry	5.04	-8.51	-10%	-21%	-14%	-18%



Simulated Stream Flows for the Warm & Wet Scenario - Cache la Poudre

DRAFT

Page 30



Simulated Stream Flows for the Median Scenario - Cache la Poudre



Simulated Stream Flows for the Hot & Dry Scenario - Cache la Poudre

)RAF



Simulated Stream Flows for the Warm & Wet Scenario - Colorado River



Simulated Stream Flows for the Median Scenario - Colorado River

)RAF



Simulated Stream Flows for the Hot & Dry Scenario – Colorado River

An intrinsic limitation of the climate simulations as used in the JFRCCV Study is their inability to predict significant weather events. Even if a model predicts an increase in precipitation, it says nothing as to how that precipitation is going to present itself. For example, it may occur as regular light drizzles or as sporadic storms with intense precipitation episodes.

The scientific community agrees that, as a consequence of a warming climate, "[i]t is very likely that hot extremes, heat waves and heavy precipitation events will become more frequent." [AR4 Synthesis, pg. 46], while "increased extreme drought is likely" [ICCAWSA-WERF, pg 27] to take place.

These, as well as a number of other projected climate characteristics, are widely agreed upon within the scientific community and summarized in the paper Implications of Climate Change for Adaptation by Wastewater and Stormwater Agencies. The complete list includes:

A = A = A

- Sea Level Rise
- Glacier Melt
- Extreme Precipitation
- Extreme Drought
- Warmer & Shorter Winters
- Warmer & Drier Summers

For the purposes of this project, only the two extreme events were added as additional scenarios: Extreme Precipitation Events (increase in frequency, intensity and duration of precipitation events) and Extreme Droughts (prolonged and more sever dry spells). The extent at which these phenomena will take place, both in frequency and intensity, is not represented by current global or regional climate models. Hence it is not possible at this point to assign weather parameters as done to the previous scenarios.

The best alternative is to consider historic events exacerbated by a warmer climate. The work done by the JFRCCV Study team included the reconstruction of historic flows from 100 years of gauge records. Another effort from the City of Boulder, working with local consultants AMEC and the University of Arizona, used tree ring data to extend the record to the year 1566. The output of this study is represented in the chart below. Both these efforts will be useful for FCU in predicting the extent and severity that floods by heavy rainfall and drought periods will have in its service area.





Warmer & Shorter Winters and Warmer & Drier Summers are already considered in the previously selected scenarios. Sea level rise and Glacier Melt do not directly pose significant implications for FCU due to geographical location. It should be noted, however, that sea level rise may impact FCU indirectly through population shift and the migration of people inland from the coasts. The complete list of selected scenarios for FCU planning includes:

- Warm & Wet;
- Hot & Dry;
- Median;
- Extreme Precipitation; and
- Extreme Drought.

8.0 Appendix 2: References

- 1.0 Alexander, P., Brekke, L.; Davis, G. et al (2011). SECURE Water Act Section 9503(c) – Reclamation Climate Change and Water, Report to Congress, 2011. U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation. 226pp.
- 2.0 Averyt K., Cody K., Gordon E., Klein R., Lukas J., Smith J., Travis W., Udall B., Vogel J. (2011). *Climate Preparedness Project, Final Report*. Prepared by Western Water Assessment for the State of Colorado. 114 pp.
- 3.0 Barsugli, J., Anderson, C., Smith, J., Vogel, J. (2009). *Options for Improving Climate Modeling to Assist Water Utility Planning for Climate Change*. Prepared for the Water Utility Climate Alliance. 146 pp.
- 4.0 Bates, B.C., Z.W. Kundzewicz, S. Wu and J.P. Palutikof, Eds., (2008). *Climate Change and Water*. Technical Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC Secretariat, Geneva, 210 pp.
- 5.0 Bernstein, L., Bosch, P., Canziani, O. et al (2007). *Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report.* Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Fourth Assessment Report. 52pp.
- 6.0 Christensen, N., Wood, A., Voisin, N. et al. (2004). *Effects of Climate Change on the Hydrology and Water Resources of the Colorado River Basin*. Climatic Change, vol 62, pp. 337 363.
- 7.0 Hoerling, M, Webb RS, Ray AJ, Doesken N, Bradley U, Barsugli JJ, Averyt KB, Wolter K (2008). Climate Change in Colorado, A Synthesis to Support Water Resources Management and Adaptation. A report by the Western Water Assessment for the Colorado Water Conservation Board. 58pp.
- 8.0 Karl, T., Melillo, J., and Peterson, T. (eds.) (2009). *Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States*. Cambridge University Press. 196 pp.
- 9.0 Kennedy, C. (June 2011). *Will Boulder's Water Supply Stand Up to Climate Change?* ClimateWatch Magazine. Retrieved from <u>http://www.climatewatch.noaa.gov/article/2011/39522/7</u>
- 10.0 Means, E. Laugier, M., Daw, J., Kaatz, L. and Waage, M. (2010). *Decision Support Planning Methods: Incorporating Climate Change Uncertainties into Water Planning*. Prepared for the Water Utility Climate Alliance. 113 pp.
- 11.0 Smith, J., Strzepek, K., Rozaklis, L., Ellinghouse, C., Hallett, K. (2009). *The Potential Consequences of Climate Change for Boulder Colorado's Water Supplies*. Prepared for NOAA by Stratus Consulting. 83 pp.

12.0 Woodbury, M., Yates, D., Baldo, M., and Kaatz, L. (2012). *Joint Front Range Climate Change Vulnerability Study*. Sponsored and published by Water Research Foundation.

9.0. Appendix 3: List of Abbreviations

DWRF	Drake Water Reclamation Facility.
FCU	Fort Collins Utilities.
GCM	General Circulation Model or Global Climate Model. It refers to computer models based on mathematical equations that replicate our understanding of how Earth's climate works. They are used to reconstruct past phenomena and project future climate conditions.
1/1	Infiltration and Inflow. I/I refers to the dilution of sewage by water entering the sewage stream through these two processes and results in decreased efficiencies in the treatment process.
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. The IPCC is a scientific intergovernmental body of the United Nations responsible for reviewing and assessing all scientific, technical and socio- economic information produced worldwide in order to improve our understanding of climate change.
JFRCCVS, JFRCCV Study	Joint Front Range Climate Change Vulnerability Study.
MWRF	Mulberry Water Reclamation Facility.
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Eliminator System. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) NPDES is a "permit program [that] controls water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States." (from EPA's NPDES website, http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/)
PR	Public Relations.
PRPA	Platte River Power Authority.

RCM	Regional Climate Model. It refers to a small-scale climate model that reflects the interaction of large- scale climate patterns with regional topography and other weather-determining factors in order to replicate and predict local climate conditions. The output resolution of RCMs is more detailed in comparison to that of GCMs.
TOC	Total Organic Carbon. It is a measure of the amount of carbon bound in organic compounds and is used as a non-specific indicator of water quality.
WEAP	Water Evaluation And Planning. WEAP is a user- friendly computer system developed and administered by the Stockholm Environmental Institute that helps in water resources planning. It includes a hydraulic model that can be calibrated to represent a specific river basin.
WERF	Water Environment Research Foundation.

10.0 Appendix 4: Agenda and Attendees at Workshop 1

MEETING AGENDA

City of Fort Collins Utilities

Climate Change Adaptation Planning

Workshop 1

Monday, July 11, 2011 9:00AM - 1:00 PM

Community Room

215 N. Mason (NW corner of Laporte Avenue and Mason)

Fort Collins, CO

Purpose:

To identify implications for FCU based on risks associated with climate changes expected in the Front Range region.

Agenda:

09.00	Welcome and Introductions	Simon Heart, MWH
09.10	FCU Objectives and Overall Project Background	Katy Bigner, FCU
09.20	Workshop Overview and Objectives	Jamie Loucks, MWH
09.30	Climate Science and Progress in the Front Range	David Yates, NCAR

DRAFT

Page 41

		Mark Woodbury, Riverside Technologies
10.00	Climate Planning Case Study	Laurna Kaatz, Denver Water
10.30	Break	
10.45	Potential Front Range Climate Risks and Implications for FCU – Interactive Session	Jamie Loucks, MWH
12.30	Working Lunch	
12.50	Wrap-up and Next Steps	Simon Heart, MWH
01.00	Adjourn	

Participants:

Fort Collins Utilities Department
Katy Bigner, Environmental Project Coordinator John Stokes , Director, Natural Resources Department Lucinda Smith , Senior Environmental Planner (Air Quality and Climate) Brian Janonis, Utilities Executive Director Joe Frank, Advance Planning Director Dennis Bode, Water Resources Manager Bruce Hendee, Assistant to the City Manager Kevin Gertig, Water Resources and Treatment Operations Manager
Denver Water
Laurna Kaatz
MWH
Simon Heart, Project Manager Chip Paulson, Client Service Manager Jamie Loucks, Technical Lead Luis Matheus, Project Engineer Lisa Fardal, Project Engineer
Riverside Technologies
Mark Woodbury NCAR
David Yates

11. Appendix 5: Agenda and Attendees at Workshop 2

MEETING AGENDA

City of Fort Collins Utilities

Climate Change Adaptation Planning

Workshop 2

Thursday, September 1, 2011 8:30AM - 12:00 PM

Primrose Studio - 43 Michaud Lane

Fort Collins, CO

Purpose:

Previously as part of this project, a rigorous review of current climate science and information on climate change impacts in the Colorado Front Range was completed and assessed through an interactive workshop. The workshop resulted in a documented list of expected climate changes and associated impacts for Fort Collins Utilities. This list of identified impacts is the foundation for the development of a decision framework and action planning to reduce risk associated with climate change.

The purpose of Workshop 2 is to take the next step in the process by exploring these impacts in detail and identifying:

- 1) Associated implications for Fort Collins Utilities that may need addressing ;
- 2) An approach to prioritize action toward these implications; and
- 3) Preliminary steps that can be taken to address the implications.

Agenda:

08.30	Welcome and Introductions	Simon Heart, MWH
08.40	Workshop Objectives	Jamie Loucks, MWH
08.45	Review of Climate Change Impacts	Jamie Loucks, MWH
08.55	Identification of Implications:	All

	How will expected climate change impacts affect FCU?	
09.50	Break	
10.00	Characterization of Implications:	All
	Which issues should be addressed first?	
11.00	Preliminary Strategy Discussion:	All
	What steps should be taken to reduce or eliminate negative impacts associated with climate change?	
11.50	Wrap-up and Next Steps	Simon Heart, MWH
12.00	Adjourn	

Participants:

;

Katy Bigner John Stokes Bruce Hendee Kevin Gertig Lisa Voytko John Phelan Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
John Stokes Bruce Hendee Kevin Gertig Lisa Voytko John Phelan Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Bruce Hendee Kevin Gertig Lisa Voytko John Phelan Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Kevin Gertig Lisa Voytko John Phelan Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Lisa Voytko John Phelan Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
John Phelan Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Ken Sampley Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Chris Parton Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Patty Bigner Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Jill Oropeza Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Jon Haukaas Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Link Mueller Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Dennis Bode Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher
Steve Catanach Cliff Hoelscher MWH
Cliff Hoelscher MWH
MWH
Simon Heart, Project Manager (Outgoing)
Chris Puccio, Project Manager
Chip Paulson, Client Service Manager
Jamie Loucks, Technical Lead
Luis Matheus, Project Engineer

DRAFT

Page 46